UDC 549.643+553.086

# Amphiboles from chromitites of the Yengaiskoye-1 ore occurence, Rai-Iz massif (Polar Urals)

# Pavel Borisovich SHIRYAEV<sup>1, 2\*</sup> Nadezhda Vladimirovna VAKHRUSHEVA<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Zavaritsky Institute of Geology and Geochemistry of the Ural Branch of RAS, Ekaterinburg, Russia <sup>2</sup>Ural State Mining University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

## Abstract

*The relevance of the work* is associated with the poor degree of knowledge of the influence of solid-phase crustal transformations of ultramafic rocks on the processes of localization of chromium mineralization.

*The purpose of the work* is to study amphibole microinclusions in ore-forming chrome-spinels of medium-chromium composition and compare them with those from high-chromium chrome-spinels.

*Research methods.* The chemical composition of minerals was determined using a CAMECA SX 100 electron probe microanalyzer (IGG Ural Branch of RAS, Ekaterinburg).

**Results.** The chemical composition of amphiboles from medium-chromium chromium ores of the Yengaiskoye-1 occurrence of the Rai-Iz massif has been studied. Amphiboles form inclusions in chrome spinel grains and long prismatic euhedral grains in the silicate part of the ore. It has been established that amphibole from inclusions in chrome spinel corresponds in composition to chrome pargasite with a  $Cr_2O_3$  content of 3.39–3.68 wt. %. In the silicate part of chromitite, that is, in cement, amphibole is represented by tremolite with  $Cr_2O_3 - 0.80-0.90$  wt. %. Comparison with the compositions of amphiboles from high-chromium chromitites of the Tsentralnoye deposit showed that tremolites and pargasites of both objects are in equilibrium in the content of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Na_2O$ , which indicates the isochemical nature of their formation.

*Conclusions.* The studied tremolites from chromitite cement and chromium pargasites from inclusions in the ore-forming chrome spinel are equilibrium in chemical composition and are of metamorphic origin (both in medium-chromium and high-chromium ores). Their capture occurred at the stage of crystallization or recrystallization of the ore-forming mineral. In medium-chromium chrome spinels, inclusions of silicates with a higher content of  $Cr_2O_3$  and  $Na_2O$  than in high-chromium spinels are observed. This may indicate the role of amphibole as a chromium concentrator during ore formation.

Keywords: amphiboles, chromitites, Yengaiskoye ore occurrence, Rai-Iz massif, Polar Urals.

# Introduction

The study of silicate inclusions in chrome spinel grains from ultramafic rocks and chromitites is the topic of many modern studies [1–5]. Such inclusions usually contain both minerals typical of host ultramafic rocks: olivine, ortho- and clinopyroxene, amphibole, chlorite, and micas – phlogopite, aspidolite.

In our works, the chemical composition of minerals from inclusions in grains of ore-forming chromium spinel and the silicate part of high-chromium chromium ores of the Tsentralnoye deposit of the Rai-Iz massif was studied [6, 7]. It was found that the composition of amphibole from the silicate part and inclusions in grains of ore-forming spinels varies noticeably within one sample, corresponding to edenite and tremolite according to the modern classification of calcium amphiboles. In individual grains of the mineral, an increased content of  $Cr_2O_3$  was determined – 0.12–1.80 wt. % [6]. Amphibole microinclusions in the ore-forming chrome spinels of the Tsentralnoye deposit are very rare, and the inclusions are mainly represented by chlorite, olivine and serpentine.

#### **Research** methods

Chemical analysis of minerals was performed on a CAME-CA SX 100 electron probe microanalyzer at the Institute of Geology and Geochemistry, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, analysts D. A. Zamyatin, A. V. Mikheeva.

Research results and discussion

In this work, the composition of amphibole microinclusions from medium-chromium chromium ores of the Yengaiskoye-1 occurrence was studied. The ores have a disseminated-banded texture, a poorly-sparsely disseminated structure [8, 9]. The silicate part is represented by an aggregate of olivine grains 0.8–1.2 mm in size, among which there are individual long-prismatic euhedral amphibole crystals up to 2.5 mm in elongation. The long axes of the crystals are oriented according to the banding of the ore.

Microinclusions in chrome spinel grains are represented by chrome pargasite according to classifications [10, 11]. The mineral was found in the form of grains of irregular, angular shape, 20–30 microns in size (Fig. 1). Its chemical composition (Table 1, an. 1–5) is characterized by an extremely high chromium content for pargasite, 3.41–3.68 wt. %. This

Shiryayev@igg.uran.ru

P. B. Shiryaev et al. Amphiboles from chromitites of the Yengaiskoye-1 ore occurence, Rai-Iz massif (Polar Urals)//Известия УГГУ. 53 2024. Вып. 3 (71). С. 53–58. DOI 10.21440/2307-2091-2024-1-53-58



Figure 1. Spinel grains (*Spl*) with amphibole inclusions (*Amf*) in an olivine-serpentine matrix. BSE image, CAMECA SX-100 Рисунок 1. Зерна шпинели (*Spl*) с включениями амфибола (*Amf*) в оливин-серпентиновом матриксе. BSE-изображение, CAMECA SX-100

Table 1. Chemical composition of amphibole from medium-chromium chrome ore of the Yengaiskoye ore occurrence	, wt.	%
Таблица 1. Химический состав амфибола из среднехромистой хромовой руды рудопроявления Енгайское, мас	:. %	

Oxides and	Analyses										
elements	1к	2ц	Зк	4	5ц	6	7	8			
SiO <sub>2</sub>	43,02	42,38	43,79	43,81	43,56	58,15	57,10	57,28			
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0,67	0,60	0,68	0,51	0,51	0,07	0,07	0,02			
$Al_2O_3$	11,70	11,29	11,11	11,20	11,16	0,36	0,43	0,33			
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3,58	3,39	3,41	3,54	3,68	0,20	0,71	0,12			
FeO	1,93	1,91	2,56	2,13	2,42	0,86	0,90	0,80			
MgO	18,92	20,57	18,76	18,74	19,09	23,90	23,38	23,77			
MnO	0,05	-	0,03	0,05	0,05	-	0,03	-			
CaO	12,58	11,28	11,97	11,96	12,91	13,03	12,45	12,05			
Na <sub>2</sub> O	3,52	3,07	3,33	3,13	3,49	0,31	0,64	0,54			
K <sub>2</sub> O	0,22	0,22	0,26	0,26	0,26	0,02	0,03	0,03			
F	0,29	_	0,16	0,06	_	_	0,09	_			
CI	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,01	0,01	0,03	_			
Total	96,49	94,72	96,07	95,41	97,14	96,91	95,86	94,92			
Formula coefficients (calculation for 23 oxygen atoms)											
Si	6,23	6,20	6,35	6,37	6,27	7,97	7,93	7,99			
Ti	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,01	0,01	-			
Al	2,00	1,95	1,90	1,92	1,89	0,06	0,07	0,05			
AI	1,29	1,34	1,19	1,17	1,26	0,03	0,07	0,01			
Alvi	0,71	0,61	0,71	0,75	0,63	0,02	_	0,05			
Cr	0,41	0,39	0,39	0,41	0,42	0,02	0,08	0,01			
Fe	0,23	0,23	0,31	0,26	0,29	0,10	0,10	0,09			
Mg	4,08	4,49	4,05	4,06	4,09	4,88	4,84	4,94			
Mn	0,01	_	_	0,01	0,01	_	_	_			
Са	1,95	1,77	1,86	1,86	1,99	1,91	1,85	1,80			
Na	0,99	0,87	0,94	0,88	0,97	0,08	0,17	0,15			
К	0,04	0,04	0,05	0,05	0,05	-	0,01	0,01			

corresponds to 0.39-0.42 formula units of Cr in terms of 23 oxygen atoms with the upper limit for the inclusion of cations of the element in the mineral structure of  $0.43\pm0.06$  f. u. [12]. From the center to the edge of the mineral grains, the amount

of  $\rm Cr_2O_3$  and  $\rm Al_2O_3$  increases by 0.2 and 0.4 wt.%, respectively, and the content of Na\_2O increases by 0.5 wt. %.

In the silicate part of the studied chromitite, i. e. in the cement, individual prismatic tremolite grains are observed, up



Figure 2. Dependences of the contents of  $Cr_2O_3$  on  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Na_2O$  on  $Al_2O_3$  in amphiboles from chromitites and plagioclasites of the **Rai-Iz massif:** 1 – chromium pargasite from an inclusion in the ore-forming spinel of chromitite of the Yengaiskoe-1 ore occurrence; 2 – tremolite from the silicate part of chromitite of the Yengaiskoe-1 ore occurrence; 3, 4 – amphiboles from chromitites of the Tsentralnoye deposit: 3 – silicate part, 4 – inclusions in chrome spinel. Composition fields of amphiboles from ultramafic rocks hosting mineralization: I – high-chromium type; II – aluminous type (according to [13])

Рисунок 2. Зависимости содержаний Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> от Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> и Na<sub>2</sub>O от Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> в амфиболах из хромититов и плагиоклазитов массива Рай-Из: 1 – хромовый паргасит из включения в рудообразующей шпинели хромитита рудопроявления Енгайское-1; 2 – тремолит из силикатной части хромитита рудопроявления Енгайское-1; 3, 4 – амфиболы из хромититов месторождения Центральное: 3 – силикатная часть, 4 – включения в хромшпинелиде. Поля составов амфиболов из ультрамафитов, вмещающих оруденение: *I* – высокохромистого типа; *II* – глиноземистого типа (по [13])

to 0.5–0.7 mm in diameter. The mineral contains 0.5–1 wt. %  $Cr_2O_3$ ,  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Na_2O_3$ , and is also depleted in TiO<sub>2</sub> to 0.07 wt. % (Table 1, an. 6–8).

The compositions of the studied amphiboles from inclusions in chromitite are distinguished by the highest content of  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cr_2O_3$  and  $Na_2O$  among those common in the ophiolite massifs of the Polar Urals (Fig. 2, *a*, *b*). The diagram (Fig. 2, *b*) shows the compositional fields of amphiboles from ultramafic rocks of the Rai-Iz and Voykar-Syninsky massifs, which host mineralization of high-chromium (I) and aluminous (II) chemical types [13]. The compositions of amphiboles from the silicate part of chromitites fall into field I, and from inclusions in chrome spinel grains they are located between fields I and II. In Fig. 2, *b*, the compositions of amphiboles form a single linear sequence, which is associated with the peculiarity of the incorporation of Na<sup>+</sup> into the tremolite structure, which is accompanied by the replacement of Si<sup>4+</sup> by Al<sup>3+</sup> [14].

According to olivine-spinel thermometry data given in [3], the central parts of the largest grains of chrome spinel from chromitite containing inclusions of chrome pargasite of the Yengaiskoe-1 ore occurrence were formed at a temperature of 670–690 °C and an oxygen fugacity of 1.1–1. 4 log. units above the FMQ buffer.

Chromium pargasites, similar in composition to those studied in our work, are known in chromitites of the Cuba, Oman, and Luobus massifs [4, 6, 15]. Their origin has been interpreted in various ways: (1) as the result of crystallization from a volatile-rich melt; (2) during the formation of chromitites as a result of the interaction of harzburgite and magma rich in incompatible elements; (3) during metasomatic processes in the upper mantle [4, 6, 15]. The low temperature of olivine-spinel equilibrium established in our work calls into question the possibility of amphibole formation in the magmatic process.

#### Conclusions

The equilibrium change in the content of  $Na_2O$  and  $Al_2O_3$  in the studied amphiboles indicates the constancy and unity of the conditions for their formation. Tremolite is typical of metamorphic parageneses. It follows that the capture of inclusions could occur at the stage of crystallization or recrystallization of the ore-forming mineral under metamorphic conditions. In medium-chromium chrome spinels, inclusions of silicates with a higher content of  $Cr_2O_3$  and  $Na_2O$  than in high-chromium spinels are observed. This may indicate the role of amphibole as a chromium concentrator during ore formation.

The research was carried out at the expense of the Russian Science Foundation Grant no. 22-17-00027, https://rscf.ru/project/22-17-00027/

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Arai S., Miura M. 2016, Formation and modification of chromitites in the mantle. *Lithos*, vol. 264, pp. 277–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lith-os.2016.08.039
- 2. Borisova A. Y., Ceuleneer G., Kamenetsky V. S., Arai S., Béjina F., Abily B., Bindeman I. N., Polvé M., De Parseval Ph., Aigouy T., Pokrovski G. S. 2012, A new view on the petrogenesis of the Oman ophiolite chromitites from microanalyses of chromite-hosted inclusions. *Journal of Petrology*, vol. 53, issue 12, pp. 2411–2440. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egs054

3. Li C., Ripley E. M., Sarkar A., Shin D., Maier W. D. 2005, Origin of phlogopite-orthopyroxene inclusions in chromites from the Merensky Reef of the Bushveld Complex, South Africa. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, vol. 150, pp. 119–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-005-0013-z

4. Lorand J. P., Ceuleneer G. 1989, Silicate and base-metal sulphide inclusions in chromites from the Maqsad area (Oman ophiolite, Gulf of Oman): a model for entrapment. *Lithos*, vol. 22, issue 4, pp. 173–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-4937(89)90054-6

5. Melcher F., Grum W., Simon G., Thalhammer T. V., Stumpfl E. F. 1997, Petrogenesis of the ophiolitic giant chromite deposits of Kempirsai, Kazakhstan: a study of solid and fluid inclusions in chromite. *Journal of Petrology*, vol. 38, issue 10, pp. 1419–1458. https://doi.org/10.1093/petroj/38.10.1419

6. Vakhrusheva N. V., Bogdanova A. R. 2016, Amphiboles from chromitites and wall-mounted ultrabasic rocks of the Tsentralnoye deposit. *Vestnik Ural'skogo otdeleniya Rossiyskogo mineralogicheskogo obshchestva* [Bulletin of the Ural Branch of the Russian Mineralogical Society], no. 13, pp. 18–22. (*In Russ.*)

7. Vakhrusheva N. V., Shiryaev P. B., Stepanov A. E., Bogdanova A. R. 2017, Petrology and chromite content of the Rai-Iz ultramafic massif. Ekaterinburg, 265 p. (*In Russ.*)

8. Nikolskaya N. E., Kazennova A. D., Nikolaev V. I. 2021, Typomorphism of ore-forming chrome spinel in chrome ore deposits. *Mineral'noye* syr'ye [Mineral raw materials]. Moscow, no. 42, 238 p. (*In Russ.*)

9. Selivanov R. A., Vakhrusheva N. V. 2010, Features of the localization of chromium mineralization of the Yengaiskoye ore field. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedeniy. Gornyy zhurnal* [News of higher educational institutions. Mining magazine], no. 2, pp. 91–98. (*In Russ.*)

10. Hawthorne F. C., Oberti R., Harlow G. E., Maresch W. V., Martin R. F., Schumacher J. C., Welch M. D. 2012, Nomenclature of the amphibole supergroup. *American Mineralogist*, vol. 97, issue 11–12, pp. 2031–2048. http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2012.4276

11. Leake B. E., Woolley A. R., Arps C. E. S., Birch W. D., Gilbert M. C., Grice J. D., Hawthorne F. C., Kato A., Kisch H. J., Krivovichev V. G., Linthout K., Laird J., Mandarino J. A., Maresch W. V., Nickel E. H., Rock N. M. S., Schumacher J. C., Smith D. C., Stephenson N. C. N., Ungaretti L., Whittaker E. J. W., Guo Y. 1997, Nomenclature of amphiboles: Report of the subcommittee on amphiboles of the International Mineralogical Association, Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names. *Canadian Mineralogist*, vol. 35, pp. 219–246.

12. Fialips-Guédon C. I., Robert J. L., Delbove F. 2000, Experimental study of Cr incorporation in pargasite. *American Mineralogist*, vol. 85, no. 5–6, pp. 687–693. http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2000-5-605

687–693.

13. Vakhrusheva N. V. 1996, Metamorphism of chromite-bearing ultrabasic rocks of the Polar Urals. PhD thesis. Ekaterinburg, 24 p. (In Russ.)

14. Zingg A. J. 1993, Intra- and intercrystalline cation-exchange reactions in zoned calcic amphibole from the Bushveld Complex. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, vol. 31, pp. 649–663.

15. Proenza J. A., Melgarejo J. C., Gervilla F., Rodríguez-Vega A., Martínez R. D., Ruiz-Sánchez R., Lavaut W. 2003, Coexistence of Cr- and Al-rich ophiolitic chromitites in a small area: The Sagua de Tánamo district, Eastern Cuba. *Mineral Exploration and Sustainable development*. Rotterdam, vol. 1, pp. 631–634.

The article was received on Decemer 01, 2023

УДК 549.643+553.086

# Амфиболы из хромититов рудопроявления Енгайское-1 массива Рай-Из (Полярный Урал)

# Павел Борисович ШИРЯЕВ<sup>1, 2\*</sup> Надежда Владимировна ВАХРУШЕВА<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Институт геологии и геохимии им. акад. А. Н. Заварицкого УрО РАН, Екатеринбург, Россия <sup>2</sup>Уральский государственный горный университет, Екатеринбург, Россия

# Аннотация

*Актуальность работы* связана со слабой степенью изученности влияния твердофазных коровых преобразований ультрамафитов на процессы локализации хромового оруденения.

**Цель работы** – исследование микровключений амфибола в рудообразующих хромшпинелидах среднехромистого состава и их сравнение с таковыми из высокохромистых хромшпинелидов.

*Методы исследования.* Химический состав минералов определен при помощи электронно-зондового микроанализатора САМЕСА SX 100 (ИГГ УрО РАН, г. Екатеринбург).

**Результаты.** Исследован химический состав амфиболов из среднехромистых хромовых руд проявления Енгайское-1 массива Рай-Из. Амфиболы образуют включения в зернах хромшпинелида и длиннопризматические идиоморфные зерна в силикатной части руды. Установлено, что амфибол из включений в хромшпинелиде соответствует по составу хромовому паргаситу с содержанием  $Cr_2O_3 - 3,39-3,68$  мас. %. В силикатной части хромитита, т. е. в цементе, амфибол представлен тремолитом с  $Cr_2O_3 - 0,80-0,90$  мас. %. Сопоставление с составами амфиболов из высокохромистых хромититов месторождения Центральное показало, что тремолиты и паргаситы обоих объектов равновесны по содержанию  $Al_2O_3$  и  $Na_2O$ , что свидетельствует об изохимизме их образования.

**Выводы.** Исследованные тремолиты из цемента хромитита и хромовые паргаситы из включений в рудообразующем хромшпинелиде равновесны по химическому составу и имеют метаморфическое происхождение (как в среднехромистых, так и в высокохромистых рудах). Их захват происходил на этапе кристаллизации или перекристаллизации рудообразующего минерала. В среднехромистых хромшпинелидах наблюдаются включения силикатов с более высоким содержанием Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> и Na<sub>2</sub>O, чем в высокохромистых. Это может указывать на роль амфибола как концентратора хрома при рудообразовании.

Ключевые слова: амфиболы, хромититы, Енгайское рудопроявление, массив Рай-Из, Полярный Урал.

Исследования проведены за счет гранта Российского научного фонда № 22-17-00027, https://rscf.ru/ project/22-17-00027/

### ЛИТЕРАТУРА

1. Arai S., Miura M. Formation and modification of chromitites in the mantle // Lithos. 2016. Vol. 264. P. 277–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2016.08.039

2. Borisova A. Y., Ceuleneer G., Kamenetsky V. S., Arai S., Béjina F., Abily B., Bindeman I. N., Polvé M., De Parseval Ph., Aigouy T., Pokrovski G. S. A new view on the petrogenesis of the Oman ophiolite chromitites from microanalyses of chromite-hosted inclusions // Journal of Petrology. 2012. Vol. 53. Issue 12. P. 2411–2440. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egs054

3. Li C., Ripley E. M., Sarkar A., Shin D., Maier W. D. Origin of phlogopite-orthopyroxene inclusions in chromites from the Merensky Reef of the Bushveld Complex, South Africa // Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology. 2005. Vol. 150. P. 119–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-005-0013-z

4. Lorand J. P., Ceuleneer G. Silicate and base-metal sulphide inclusions in chromites from the Maqsad area (Oman ophiolite, Gulf of Oman): a model for entrapment // Lithos. 1989. Vol. 22. Issue 3. P. 173–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-4937(89)90054-6

5. Melcher F., Grum W., Simon G., Thalhammer T. V., Stumpfl E. F. Petrogenesis of the ophiolitic giant chromite deposits of Kempirsai, Kazakhstan: a study of solid and fluid inclusions in chromite // Journal of Petrology. 1997. Vol. 38. Issue 10. P. 1419–1458. https://doi.org/10.1093/ petroj/38.10.1419

6. Вахрушева Н. В., Богданова А. Р. Амфиболы из хромититов и околорудных ультрамафитов месторождения Центральное (массив Рай-Из) // Вестник УрО РМО. 2016. № 13. С. 18–22.

7. Вахрушева Н. В., Ширяев П. Б., Степанов А. Е., Богданова А. Р. Петрология и хромитоносность ультраосновного массива Рай-Из (Полярный Урал). Екатеринбург: ИГГ УрО РАН, 2017. 265 с.

8. Никольская Н. Е., Казеннова А. Д., Николаев В. И. Типоморфизм рудообразующего хромшпинелида месторождений хромовых руд // Минеральное сырье. М.: ФГБУ «ВИМС», 2021. № 42. 238 с.

9. Селиванов Р. А., Вахрушева Н. В. Особенности локализации хромового оруденения Енгайского рудного поля // Известия вузов. Горный журнал. 2010. № 2. С. 91–98.

10. Hawthorne F. C., Oberti R., Harlow G. E., Maresch W. V., Martin R. F., Schumacher J. C., Welch M. D. Nomenclature of the amphibole supergroup // American Mineralogist. 2012. Vol. 97. Issue 11–12. P. 2031–2048. http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am.2012.4276

⊠Shiryayev@igg.uran.ru

11. Leake B. E., Woolley A. R., Arps C. E. S., Birch W. D., Gilbert M. C., Grice J. D., Hawthorne F. C., Kato A., Kisch H. J., Krivovichev V. G., Linthout K., Laird J., Mandarino J. A., Maresch W. V., Nickel E. H., Rock N. M. S., Schumacher J. C., Smith D. C., Stephenson N. C. N., Ungaretti L., Whittaker E. J. W., Guo Y. Nomenclature of amphiboles: Report of the subcommittee on amphiboles of the International Mineralogical Association, Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names // Canadian Mineralogist. 1997. Vol. 35. P. 219–246.

12. Fialips-Guédon C.-I., Robert J.-L., Delbove F. Experimental study of Cr incorporation in pargasite // American Mineralogist. 2000. Vol. 85. No. 5–6. P. 687–693. http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/am-2000-5-605

13. Вахрушева Н. В. Метаморфизм хромитоносных гипербазитов Полярного Урала: автореф. дис... канд. геол.-минерал. наук. Екатеринбург, 1996. 24 с.

14. Zingg A. J. Intra- and intercrystalline cation-exchange reactions in zoned calcic amphibole from the Bushveld Complex // The Canadian Mineralogist. 1993. Vol. 31. P. 649–663.

15. Proenza J. A., Melgarejo J. C., Gervilla F., Rodríguez-Vega A., Martínez R. D., Ruiz-Sánchez R., Lavaut W. Coexistence of Cr- and Al-rich ophiolitic chromitites in a small area: The Sagua de Tánamo district, Eastern Cuba // Mineral Exploration and Sustainable development. Rotter-dam: Millpress Science Publishers, 2003. Vol. 1. P. 631–634.

Статья поступила в редакцию 01 декабря 2023 года

58 P. B. Shiryaev et al. Amphiboles from chromitites of the Yengaiskoye-1 ore occurence, Rai-Iz massif (Polar Urals)//Известия УГГУ. 2024. Вып. 3 (71). С. 53–58. DOI 10.21440/2307-2091-2024-1-53-58